

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,112	11/21/2003	Thomas W. Stone	10020909-1	1936
7590 12/07/2004			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			BEN, LOHA	
Intellectual Property Administration			L DOWN DIE	D . DCD . W. W. CD CD
Legal Department, DL 429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2873	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		`				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,112	STONE, THOMAS W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LOHA BEN	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended perio	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>Nevember 21, 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the approximate the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-19</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5 and 6</u> is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	Loha Ben Primary Examiner				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the I 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the I 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyane correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		O(DTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1103. 	D-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Minor informalities noted in the claims

In claim 1: line 12, after "effectuate", "said" should be deleted, otherwise "said desired distinct output channels" has no antecedent basis. See line 2 from the bottom of the claim also if deletion of the word "desired" is the option.

In claim 6: bottom line, before "desired", -- said – should be inserted.

In claim 7: line 7 of page 33, after "component", -- of – should be inserted.

In claims 13 and 15: line 4, "grating" should be – gratings --.

In claim 18: lines 9 and 24, "grating" should be – gratings --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said at least one output beam/port" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "said selectable filtering sub-system" in lines 4 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Glenn.

Regarding claim 1, Glenn teaches a projection system that incorporates filtering system that comprises an optical separating sub-system, 68, for separating input optical radiation from at least one input beam/port into two distinct input channels; an optical recombining sub-system, 86; and a selectable filtering sub-system, 78, 84; the latter subsystem being interposed between the former sub-systems for filtering the distinct input channels to obtain desired distinct output channels. Please see Figs. 3-6 and the accompanying texts.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-19 are allowable. The allowability of these claims, vis-à-vis Glenn, is first based on at least the characteristic as to the optical alignment between the pixellated redirecting optical component and the corresponding recombining optical component that is associated with rest of the limitations of independent claim 7; and second, on enhancement of space uniformity noted in the first and second optical subsystems that is associated with the rest of the limitations recited in independent claim 14 in connection therewith.

Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,112

Art Unit: ***

Page 4

Claims 4 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Loha Ben whose telephone number is (571) 272-2323. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Saturday, generally between 12:00 noon and 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps, can be reached on Monday to Friday, at (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 4, 2004

Loha Ben Primary Examiner